Animal Experimentation: An Annotated Bibliography


Nancy Day discusses the two different viewpoints of the widely debated topic of animal testing and thoroughly talks about the consequences of animal testing and experimentation and also the benefits we gain and build upon. Remaining neutral, she gives the reader a good chance to see where both sides are coming from but also giving many reasons to contradict the other so that no bias is influencing the reader’s opinion. Meant for any one, aware of this debate or not, she clears the fact this issue has been exaggerated and is indeed affecting our daily lives everyday.

This book has a main purpose of giving the reader some information about what is going on so that they can decide for themselves which side in this heated controversy to take. This two sided argumentative source gives a different twist and engages the reader to an eternal battle while the other sources are very one sided and directly addressing the strong disagreement toward animal testing.

Before reading this book I would immediately accuse the animal experimenters of such unnecessary cruelty and mistreatment but after some thorough investigating, I discovered that there are some benefits for animal testing and some even have even helped advance medical treatment immensely. This book also helped me get a good understanding of the perspective the other side supports and made it easier for me to counter their motives.


Animal welfare states the laws and regulations needed to follow to ensure a safe and ethical lab experiment on animals. It also argues the point that animals have absolutely no
right or say in what is going on with them nor are they subject to any court trials on behalf of their abuse. This extremely opinionated source lists the many reason to be against animal testing and the unjust treatment they are getting.

The purpose of this book is to state and explain the laws animal testing is “sliding under” and to help the reader understand the laws that are already existing to prevent such hearsh conditions.

This source explains a very good reason for the banning of animal experimentation and gives legitimate and very straight forward facts based of federal laws to find another way to test things and if there must be testing, how to bring down the level of abuse and pain inflicted on the poor innocent creatures. This provides reasonable arguments against animal experiments and abuse.


This website covers the many conditions that are forced upon animals as they’re awaiting their turn to be cruelly tested on. Not only do the animals have to wait in misery but the state in which they are kept is unlivable. It lists the many ways animals are tested upon and unfairly dragged into this life of pain. This source, siding with the animal rights, also gives many effective alternatives. Many of the organizations listed on the website promote the use of animals in testing and many fund schools for dissections in science.

The goal of this source is to explain the many uses of animals in testing and give examples of how many corporations are funding this cruelty, stating that this is a necessity to educational purposes. This company is very animal friendly and constantly
involved in protecting animals and giving them the rights they deserve therefore, it is a very reliable source.

This source was valid for my research because it gave many reasons to stop animal experimentation and listed many examples of what went on and how the animals suffered on a daily basis. It also lists alternatives and proves that this is extremely unnecessary and can be avoided altogether.


Listing the source for providing animals subject to experimentation, this website gives details procedures and examples of what the animals go through and how badly they are treated. It also gives multiple cases of where animal testing was strictly unreliable and caused great mishap among many communities relying on this treacherousness.

This website pinpoints exact companies and their involvement in the controversy whereas other sources would have given a rough idea. It also provides graphic pictures exhibiting the condition of the animals undergoing experimentation which provides a brief overview of the creatures after or during testing.

Many alternatives are discussed in this website to assure that there is another option instead of hurting innocent animals in the unreliable task of experimenting.


There are many other ways to conduct research without the use of animals and this source gives ethical ways to work around such harsh experimenting. It also gives a solid understanding of the three R’s and how they work into the ideal state of relying on alternatives. It also states good examples of successful businesses that drifted from animal testing and saved many lives of creatures.

This source will give the reader a useful alternative and help them lean towards permanently banning animal testing. This website was extremely biased in voting for stopping animal experimentation and had a main purpose of dissuading the general public.


Discussing the controversial debate on allowing animal testing, this source thoroughly explains the logic behind banning animal experimentation as to why it is greatly frowned upon. It also reviews the history behind the Animal Welfare Act and the motives that drove the certain individual to endure such events.

This source is certainly useful and gives a history of the beginning of the disagreement of animal testing and rights that are needed to be bestowed.

The history does indeed give a new perspective of this whole debate and tells of the past and how this disagreement has stretched further and further until it has lead to much advancement and fails in the medical field.

The author in this magazine article is persuading the reader to view the world in a more ethical way and to take this into consideration when remember the morals of humanity that should be continued to be practiced as we advance further in life, including in animal testing, which should not be conducted at all. It gives a reason as to why we might become overly dependent on animals if we continue this life style and that seeking other ways might be the better option.

This source is different compared to the others because it focuses primarily on the dependence we might develop to animals if we rely this much on them.

This gives a very useful piece of information concerning a completely different point of view as the main problem in animal testing. Some sources were a lot more concerned about the animals that they were harming while this article was content on the future and what this might eventually do to us.


Concerned mainly about the effectiveness of animal testing, this source lists many events that have occurred in detail that failed because of impractical animal testing. It exemplifies how wrong it is to test animals when they are nowhere near as developed as we are and harming them without purpose is foolish and unethical.

This source is very different in relation to other because the main purpose of the author was to show how unnecessary this was because of the potential failure rate. It does discuss the cruelty and conditions but the primary focus is the ineffectiveness.
This source helped me in multiple ways explaining, once again, an ultimately different view in the same perspective of banning animal testing.

"Animal Testing- Is It Necessary?” Interview by International Vegetation Union.


This interview is very informational in providing details about what the animals go through when tested upon and gives dozens of examples about what procedures are taken without certain precautions, making the overall experiment inhumane.

This source is strictly biased and is made solely for the purpose of vegetarians and to provide people with information, persuading them to join their lifestyle.

Listing the many reasons why animals testing should be banned would not have been enough to persuade many. Therefore this source called in professionals that spilled the secrets and different experiments that take place without most of the public knowing.